

National Peer Review of Assessment
Workshops
Brisbane, 7 June 2016

Professor John Jenkins
Associate Professor Gail Wilson
Dr Caroline Steel

Coursework Awards

- SCU Assessment Policy is under review
- Initiated by review dates linked to when Policy expires
- SCU defines peer review as:
a systematic process whereby academics review and improve teaching, curriculum and assessment practices. It is an iterative collegial process that aims to increase visibility and accountability in decision-making about teaching and student learning.
- Policy recommends peer review of assessment, but in many clauses does not mandate it
- Little consistency in aspects of peer review across disciplines and Schools/Colleges

Peer Review

- Limited external peer review
- Course accreditation by professional bodies
- Strong **internal** peer review focus in some areas
- Peer review is perhaps most consistent in:
 - whole of course assessment and constructive alignment
 - review of assessment item grades by moderators
 - approval of grades by Boards of Examiners
- Peer assessment involving students
- Responsibilities for peer review

Review processes

- Review of Academic Policy is responsibility of the Academic Board (AB)
- AB Working party established 2015
- External environment complexities
- Well documented, evidence-based approach to assessment is adopted
- Importance of common vision – authentic and powerful assessment
- Policy entrepreneurs (e.g., Kingdon, 1984)?
- Focus on developing culture, capability and collaboration
- Workshops and engagement – ongoing
- **Resource development supporting policy and procedures**

Peer review at SCU

- 2014 – Peer Review in Blended and Online Teaching Contexts – OLT extension grant
- 2 universities – SCU and The University of Queensland
- 2 Schools – Business (SCU) and Population Health (UQ)
- 15 staff
- Collegial, reciprocal, collaborative, peer partnerships
- ‘Learning Conversation’ approach to providing feedback
- Continued in 2015 at SCU – more schools
- Wide range of assessment issues nominated for review by staff
- Online module for open access by staff 2016